Case 3:15-cr-00354-CRB Document 16 Filed 08/25/15 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. CR 15-354
Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL FILED
MaHhew Spiller Defendant.	AUG 25 2015
Defendant.	RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALFORNA
Speedy Trial Act from August 25, 2015 to _	on August 25, 2015, the Court excludes time under the October 16, 2015 and finds that the ends of justice served e public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §
Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	d be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to exp	ex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact pect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial hed by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	d deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	d unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given mitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	d unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: 4/25/15	JOSEPH C. SPERO United States Chief Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney